

Senator Proxmire: "If you'd recommend it, it would make a difference, There are a lot of people in the Congress who would love to support that kind of program, not having anything to do with nuclear war but because they've got a lot of farmers who vote,"

FEMA Witness: "Senator, within the administration there are many other agencies that indeed would have to comment on that before our view would prevail that it would make sense to have a surplus \_\_\_\_\_"

Senator Proxmire: "Well, its a civil defense program. I'm talking about the civil defense program. Shouldn't that be affected by the availability of food?"

FEMA Witness: "Yes."

Senator Proxmire went on to discuss this further and the bureaucrat assured him that FEMA was studying this problem.

More than three years later, they are *still* studying it today.

Some people look at Senator Proxmire's record of voting against civil defense expenditures and say that he opposes civil defense. They further state that he is never satisfied, makes pro defense statements for political reasons, but never gives significant support.

They might be correct, but how are we to know? In the exchange above, who was the problem - the bureaucrat or the Senator? The Senator told them to come back with a food distribution program and they never did. Would he have voted for it? We may never know.

### **DR. JANE ORIENT VIDEO TAPE**

The video tapes of Dr. Orient's emergency medical presentation and defense interview are now being shipped.

The tape is about 100 minutes long. The first two-thirds is a presentation by Dr. Orient about emergency medical preparations with an emphasis on self-help procedures.

She recommends certain books, specific information about the most common types of problems, and gives specific information related to the special health problems associated with nuclear explosions.