

Therefore, the diversion of just ten percent (or about one month) of American food production into long term storage near our population centers would provide one year of food for each American in case of war. Alternatively, storage near our population centers of just 25% of the grain normally in storage elsewhere would accomplish the same purpose.

We already own one-fourth of the required grain, and our farmers would be very pleased to sell us the rest. Just a change in regulations which would revise the storage location of 25% of the current supply would do the job without purchase of any grain at all.

During the first year after an attack we would, therefore, not starve. During that year, we could restore at least the rudimentary transportation system needed to reach and utilize the other three years supply in storage elsewhere.

Moreover, during the four years that we are fed with existing supplies, we would need to restore *only ten percent* of our current farm production to assure an adequate continuing supply.

Clearly, America need not perish by starvation if she has that first increment of food stored within walking distance of her people. Today it is stored elsewhere. Today most Americans are *not* within reach of even a month's supply of food. They would starve to death before transportation and production could be restored.

## WHEAT

If you can store nothing else, store enough wheat for your family, neighbors, and immediate community. The current delivered price of field-run wheat here in Southern Oregon is \$100 per ton. That is about \$30 for an amount which would sustain the life of one person for one year. In the farm belt it is cheaper. Cleaned and in nice containers, it is more expensive.

If the wheat is stored in dry, full, airtight containers it will last your lifetime and more. Purging the containers with carbon dioxide or its equivalent will ensure even longer viable storage.

Store a hand-crank meat grinder or a hand-crank vegetable juicer with the wheat.